

F5J9RIGS

1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
2 SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK  
3 -----x

4 UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

5 v.

13 CR 897 (RWS)

6 BLADIMIR RIGO,

7 Defendant.  
-----x

8 New York, N.Y.  
9 May 19, 2015  
10 4:42 p.m.

11 Before:

12 HON. ROBERT W. SWEET

13 District Judge

14 APPEARANCES

15 PREET BHARARA  
16 United States Attorney for the  
17 Southern District of New York  
EDWARD DISKANT  
18 Assistant United States Attorneys

SPEARS & IMES  
19 Attorneys for Defendant  
JOANNA C. HENDON  
ALICIA K. AMDUR  
20 SHARANYA SAI MOHAN

21 ALSO PRESENT: HUMBERTO GARCIA, Spanish Interpreter

F5J9RIGS

1 (In open court; case called)

2 MR. DISKANT: Good afternoon, your Honor. Edward  
3 Diskant for the government.

4 MS. HENDON: Good afternoon, your Honor.

5 Joanna Hendon for Mr. Rigo who is here with me. And  
6 my colleagues, Sharanya Sai Mohan and Alicia Amdur are at  
7 counsel table as well.

8 THE COURT: Thank you.

9 Ms. Hendon, have you had an opportunity to discuss the  
10 presentence report and the Court's proposed sentencing opinion  
11 with your client?

12 MS. HENDON: Yes, your Honor.

13 THE COURT: Is there anything you'd like to tell me?

14 MS. HENDON: I would like to address the Court, if I  
15 might.

16 THE COURT: Sure.

17 MS. HENDON: Thank you, Judge.

18 Your Honor, we rely primarily on our sentencing  
19 memorandum and our letter of May 14 which I know the Court has  
20 read carefully. But there are a few points we would like to  
21 highlight in support of our argument that a noncustodial  
22 sentence in this case accomplishes the goals of Section  
23 3553(a).

24 First, I'd like to introduce to the court Mr. Rigo's  
25 immediate family who are all here today. His wife, Angela

F5J9RIGS

1 Rigo, of 30 years. In the second row, his oldest son, Vincent,  
2 is here. And -- I misspoke because Mr. Rigo has two younger  
3 twin sons, Angel, who wrote a letter to the court. Angel is  
4 working. Is not here today. Angel's twin brother Bladimir is  
5 here with his mother.

6 First, your Honor, I'd like to emphasize the crucial  
7 role that Mr. Rigo plays in his family. You know from our  
8 submission Mr. Rigo has been married to Mrs. Rigo for more than  
9 30 years. The Rigoes live in the same house as their adult  
10 children and grandchildren. Mr. Rigo's children look up to  
11 him. He has worked hard to provide for them. He has instilled  
12 good, decent values in his children and has helped them run  
13 their own businesses. As Vincent here today wrote in his  
14 letter to the Court, "My father is the epitome of the principle  
15 that by working hard and applying yourself the American dream  
16 is possible."

17 The Rigo family is close-knit. Mr. Rigo spends time  
18 with his sons and grandchildren daily. In particular, he is  
19 deeply involved in caring for Bladimir who is in court today.  
20 Bladimir Rigo suffers from debilitating disorder. He has a  
21 fixed routine that involves regular outings with his father.  
22 It is his father, the defendant, who coaxes him daily to  
23 complete basic tasks like eating and getting haircuts.

24 As Angel Rigo, Bladimir's twin who is not here, wrote  
25 in his letter to the Court, "My brother Bladimir is very close

F5J9RIGS

1 to my father. My brother has a fixed routine that he follows  
2 everyday. He does not talk very much and can only write in  
3 broken sentences. Although we were able to play normally as  
4 kids, now that we're older it's difficult for me to interact  
5 with him as much because he follows the same routines he did as  
6 a child. Of the three of us brothers, my father was most  
7 worried about Bladimir. Now, in addition to living with him,  
8 my father spends time with him almost everyday. It is  
9 difficult to get Bladimir to eat. My dad makes sure Bladimir  
10 eats and takes him out to eat. He also takes him to do his  
11 daily chores."

12 Mr. Rigo also is instrumental in the care of Mrs. Rigo  
13 who in 2011 had an accident that left both of her hips and legs  
14 broken.

15 As Angel Rigo wrote to the Court on that topic, "When  
16 my mother had her accident she spent three months in the  
17 hospital. She had both hips replaced and had rods in her legs.  
18 It took her nearly two years to recover. She still has a lot  
19 of trouble walking, climbing stairs, and even getting up. My  
20 father is there to help her around the house, to get her up.  
21 Because she cannot drive, he picks up the things they need like  
22 groceries."

23 Obviously, a sentence of incarceration in this case  
24 would punish not only Mr. Rigo but his family members as well,  
25 in particular his son Bladimir and his wife Angela.

F5J9RIGS

1           Secondly, your Honor, Mr. Rigo's age and health make a  
2 sentence of incarceration unnecessary in this case. Mr. Rigo  
3 is 75 years old. Courts have repeatedly recognized the reduced  
4 risk of recidivism in defendants of that age, especially those  
5 having a Criminal History Category I, no criminal history  
6 points, such as Mr. Rigo.

7           The reduced risk of recidivism associated with  
8 Mr. Rigo's age is underscored or bolstered by the fact of the  
9 strong family ties that exist, provide a network for him, a  
10 routine for him, to keep him on the straight and narrow,  
11 indoors if necessary, under home confinement, but that  
12 structure exists in his life. It's part of his daily routine  
13 now.

14           The risk of recidivism in this case is reduced as well  
15 by the fact that Mr. Rigo is so deeply sorry for his  
16 participation in this scheme and for the distress that he has  
17 put his family through as a result of this prosecution.

18           The risk that he will ever participate in criminal  
19 activity during the remainder of his life, the court can and  
20 should find on this record is negligible. That is true as well  
21 because I think court has seen enough to know that the scheme,  
22 the conspiracy in this case has been dismantled by the  
23 government. Mr. Rigo's coconspirators have been prosecuted,  
24 sentenced. Some of them have been released. He has no contact  
25 with them. And he is loathe to ever associate with any of them

F5J9RIGS

1 again.

2           A few words about the offense. We acknowledge the  
3 seriousness of the offense conduct here and no one at our table  
4 has ever sought to downplay it. Mr. Rigo is very sorry. He's  
5 ashamed -- as a former veteran who is grateful for the life  
6 that this country has given him, he's ashamed to be in federal  
7 court before your Honor for sentencing having violated the laws  
8 of this country.

9           Just a few words, your Honor, before I wrap up, on  
10 unwarranted sentencing disparities. The coconspirators that  
11 Mr. Rigo dealt with in this case, Mr. Fernandez,  
12 Mr. Reyes Arias, and Mr. Leyba are all three. Now we have  
13 focused the court and asked the court to consider the  
14 sentencing disparities point and the need to avoid unwarranted  
15 sentencing disparities, we have focused on these three  
16 conspirators because they are the individuals that the record  
17 shows Mr. Rigo dealt with. In its letter to the court -- and  
18 our sentencing brief, at page 20, cites the case law from this  
19 circuit that says it is appropriate for the court to focus on  
20 the offender's coconspirators in making a determination about  
21 whether there will be unwarranted sentencing disparities in the  
22 case. The government instead, in its letter yesterday, has put  
23 before the court a list of defendants and sentences about which  
24 we know nothing, about which this court knows virtually  
25 nothing. Only one of the names on that list has surfaced in

F5J9RIGS

1 that case. It's the first one, Conrado Vasquez. And I'm  
2 referring to the list of names the government has given the  
3 court. You may remember. Conrado's name, I think, appears in  
4 the consensual tape recording between my client and  
5 Mr. Fernandez. I think his name is mentioned there. There's  
6 no reference to any of these other defendants. I don't know  
7 anything about them. I can tell you, because we pulled it, in  
8 the sentencing transcript for Conrado Vasquez the government  
9 represented that Mr. Vasquez -- pardon me. The government  
10 represented that Mr. Fernandez, the gentleman in our case who  
11 had that massive stash house with \$7 million worth of drugs and  
12 paraphernalia in it. The government represented to Judge Cote  
13 that Mr. Fernandez was a lower level aggregator than  
14 Mr. Vasquez. This is something we were able in 24 hours to  
15 pull together to point out why the names and sentences in the  
16 government's letter should be disregarded by the court and the  
17 court instead should focus on the fairness of putting Mr. Rigo  
18 in jail because he was the last man standing.

19 The Court will recall that Mr. Fernandez, with his big  
20 stash house, I think he was the first person caught by the  
21 agents in this part of the case. And he cooperated against  
22 Mr. Leyba and Mr. Reyes Arias. Mr. Leyba tried to cooperate  
23 and couldn't. Mr. Reyes Arias was signed up and cooperated.  
24 Mr. Fernandez and Mr. Reyes Arias over a period of several  
25 months made the case against Mr. Rigo. And there was no one

F5J9RIGS

1 left for Mr. Rigo to cooperate against. But he shouldn't be  
2 punished for that.

3 In addition, the record in this case shows that  
4 Mr. Rigo, if anything, was less involved in the scheme than the  
5 three coconspirators I've referred to, Mr. Fernandez did two  
6 undercover buys at least with the agents and had a massive  
7 stash house, very sophisticated operation. Mr. Reyes Arias and  
8 Mr. Leyba as well had undercover sales either to cooperators or  
9 to agents. They each had close to five hundred containers of  
10 medicines and related evidence of criminal activity in their  
11 homes or their stash houses when they were arrested. Mr. Rigo  
12 engaged -- there is no evidence of him engaging in any  
13 hand-to-hand buys. Nothing was recovered from his home other  
14 than the lists of medicines we spent so much time on. The  
15 government was unable to connect -- and I think this is in the  
16 Court's opinion following the Fatico hearing -- the government  
17 was unable to connect Mr. Rigo to any of the seizures involving  
18 the other three conspirators I'm now referring to or the  
19 hand-to-hand buys involving those conspirators.

20 For him at age 75 with his physical problems and the  
21 family he has to be left at the end of the day going to prison  
22 when none of these other three did. Mr. Reyes Arias spent time  
23 in jail only because he didn't make bail. And I tried to  
24 elicit from him why it was that he didn't make bail as a  
25 cooperator. Because it appeared, from all the materials we

F5J9RIGS

had, that he was a U.S. citizen -- I may have been mistaken about that, but I don't know why he didn't make bail. But that's the only reason Reyes Arias stayed in prison at all, was because he didn't make bail.

Now, Mr. Fernandez was a cooperator. He, the government told the court at sentencing in that case, was responsible for between 20 and 50 million dollars worth of medicine. He received a noncustodial sentence.

Mr. Leyba, not a 5K cooperator. Mr. Leyba did hand-to-hand sales and had his own little stash house. He had a noncustodial sentence.

Now, I don't want to spend too much time focusing on this point but it is a valid and important one for the Court to consider, the fairness and appropriateness in this case with this conspiracy, these conspirators, of Mr. Rigo going to jail because there was no one left for him to cooperate against when others did not.

But, the real reason that the Court or the overriding reason the Court should give serious consideration to a noncustodial sentence in this case is because the interests of society, the need for general and specific deterrence, the need to take into account the seriousness of the offense, these factors will not be served by putting this man in jail. He can be subject to home confinement -- well they won't be served without offsetting very deleterious impact on his family who

F5J9RIGS

1 have done nothing to deserve punishment and on him at this  
2 point given his health.

3 So, he has been for nearly two years on supervision by  
4 pretrial services. I think they check in with him monthly.  
5 He's been a model supervisee. There was no reason to believe  
6 he won't be a model supervisee if your Honor puts him on some  
7 kind of supervision of home confinement or gives him community  
8 service to do like Mr. Fernandez was given. But putting this  
9 man, with this constellation of family factors and health  
10 factors, who was involved to the extent he was involved in this  
11 crime, in prison, particularly when those he dealt with, who  
12 were caught red-handed and at least, if not more, involved than  
13 he did not receive custodial sentences would be unfair and  
14 inconsistent with the court's obligations under Section 3553.

15 If your Honor has questions for me at this time, I'm  
16 happy to answer them.

17 THE COURT: Thank you very much.

18 Mr. Rigo, is there anything you'd like to tell me in  
19 addition to what's been stated on your behalf by your lawyer?

20 THE DEFENDANT: Yes.

21 Your Honor, I feel very remorseful about what I have  
22 done. For my crimes. I am very sorry for what I have done,  
23 what I have put my family through, who depends on me, but I  
24 have failed them. I implore you to be lenient when imposing  
25 sentence. Thank you, your Honor.

F5J9RIGS

1           THE COURT: Thank you, sir.

2           Anything from the government?

3           MR. DISKANT: Only briefly, your Honor.

4           I think, as the Court has already sensed from the  
5 Fatico hearing and from its sentencing opinion, this was a very  
6 serious crime and this defendant was a very significant  
7 participant in it. We are in accord with the Court's  
8 sentencing opinion. We are in accord with the probation  
9 department's recommendation in this case for all of the reasons  
10 set forth both in the PSR and in the Court's opinion.

11           There are just a couple of points that Ms. Hendon  
12 raised that I did want to briefly respond to and I'm certainly  
13 happy to answer any questions if the Court has them.

14           The first is this notion that Mr. Rigo was left with  
15 no one to cooperate against which, with great respect to  
16 defense counsel, is simple nonsense. The very first  
17 conversations I had with defense counsel, the moment the  
18 defendant was arrested, was about cooperation. Mr. Rigo had  
19 zero interest. Because Mr. Rigo has never fully accepted  
20 responsibility for his conduct. He has fought tooth and nail  
21 which, of course, is his right. But it is simply not correct  
22 to stand up and say that the only reason he is being punished  
23 is because there is no one left for him to cooperate against.

24           With respect to the conduct itself, the government  
25 would disagree with the notion that any of the coconspirators

F5J9RIGS

1 Ms. Hendon discussed were more culpable or more involved than  
2 the defendant was. As the Court has heard testimony in the  
3 Fatico hearing, Mr. Leyba and Mr. Reyes Arias worked for the  
4 defendant. They were his employees. They were the people who  
5 got their hands dirty so this defendant didn't have to for a  
6 period over a decade. He is significantly more culpable than  
7 they are.

8 We did try to provide the Court with information, the  
9 probation department already has, about sentences imposed by  
10 Judge Cote. I am happy to answer them if the Court has  
11 questions. Otherwise, I will rest on our submission.

12 THE COURT: Anything further, Ms. Hendon?

13 MS. HENDON: Only that Mr. Diskant was not present at  
14 the arraignment of Mr. Rigo. Another Assistant U.S. Attorney  
15 was. And it was that assistant that I had initial  
16 conversations with. I would not have represented to the Court  
17 what I did had I had discussions with the government about the  
18 possibility of cooperation. I recall no discussions, no  
19 serious interest by the government on this topic. I'm getting  
20 older. It's been over a year. But I wouldn't have stood up  
21 and said what I said had there been a meaningful discussion on  
22 this subject.

23 THE COURT: Mr. Rigo, this is a painful moment for  
24 you. It's a painful moment for your family. I have great  
25 respect for your devotion to your wife and to your sons. Those

F5J9RIGS

1 family obligations are paramount in the society and do make a  
2 very significant difference to all of us. At the same time, I  
3 have to take into consideration the fact that for ten years you  
4 engaged in conduct which was harmful to the society. It harmed  
5 individuals and it harmed institutions. It harmed the program,  
6 the very program that was set up to help individuals deal with  
7 disease and difficulty. So that makes it very hard. I  
8 understand everything that your very skilled counsel has told  
9 me.

10 The issue of deterrence is one concept which is in the  
11 sky which everybody discusses, but nobody really knows how it  
12 operates. And I can't -- if it were a question of the  
13 deterrence alone and your family situation, I think I might be  
14 able to accept what your counsel has proposed. But it's  
15 because of the duration of the activity and the harm that was  
16 done to other people that compels me to reach the conclusion  
17 that there has to be a custodial sentence.

18 I will take into consideration the factors which have  
19 been brought to my attention, particularly your age and the  
20 family situation. And so instead of the 48 months that were  
21 suggested in my sentencing opinion, I am going to impose a  
22 sentence of 38 months. All of the other terms and conditions  
23 of the sentencing opinion will be in effect.

24 You have a right to appeal this sentence and to be  
25 represented free of charge on that appeal if you don't have

F5J9RIGS

1 funds.

2 Is there anything further?

3 MR. DISKANT: Yes, your Honor.

4 We've handed up a proposed restitution order for the  
5 Court.

6 THE COURT: Yes.

7 MR. DISKANT: And the only other remaining item is a  
8 surrender date.

9 THE COURT: Yes, I have signed that.

10 Is there anything further?

11 MR. DISKANT: Surrender date, your Honor.

12 MS. HENDON: Judge, I would ask that if the Court  
13 would make a recommendation. I know that the Bureau of Prisons  
14 does as it sees fit. But in order to facilitate family visits  
15 if your Honor would recommend a facility in the New York  
16 region.

17 THE COURT: I will do so.

18 MS. HENDON: Can I just confer with my client a moment  
19 about a surrender date?

20 THE COURT: Sure.

21 MS. HENDON: Thank you.

22 (Pause)

23 MS. HENDON: Your Honor we would ask Mr. Rigo be given  
24 two months within which to make arrangements for care of his  
25 son and wife and otherwise get his affairs in order before

F5J9RIGS

1 surrendering.

2 THE COURT: That's agreeable.

3 MS. HENDON: Thank you, your Honor.

4 THE COURT: Anything else?

5 MR. DISKANT: Nothing further, Your Honor.

6 MS. HENDON: No, your Honor. Thank you.

7 THE COURT: Thank you all.

8 (Adjourned)

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25